

Federal Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Summary Report

2010-11

District:

Name: TINTIC DISTRICT

Number: 29

Grade Span :

10-12



Did district make AYP?

Yes*

2011 - 15

			anguage Arts		Mathematics					
			Safe H	Harbor				Safel	Harbor	
Group	Participation	Academic Achievement	10 % Rule	Additional ² Indicator	Group OK?	Participation	Academic ⁵ Achievement	10 % Rule	Additional ² Indicator	Group OK?
Whole LEA	NA	Yes			Yes	NA	No	No	NA	No
Asian										
African American										
American Indian	NA	NA			Yes	NA	NA			Yes
Caucasian	NA	Yes			Yes	NA	No	No	NA	No
Hispanic	NA	NA			Yes	NA	NA			Yes
Pacific Islander										
Economically Disadvantaged	NA	Yes			Yes	NA	No	No	NA	No
Limited English Proficient										
Students with Disabilities	NA	NA			Yes	NA	NA			Yes

Did the district and every group make AYP in the content area?

Grade SpanLanguage ArtsMathematics3-8Yes*Yes10-12YesNo

Did the district make AYP? Yes*

Language Arts Mathematics

Is the district in Program Improvement? No

Year in Program Improvement

5 2011 Secondary math calculations use only Algebra 1 scores of 10th grade students

KEY

Yes Group meets the requirement

No Group does not meet the requirement

Yes* Group within width of confidence interval of meeting requirement

NA Group too small to be required to meet standard

Shaded Cell Group not required to meet this requirement

© 2011 Utah State Office of Education Page 1 of 4

¹ To determine Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) as required by the U.S. No Child Left Behind Act of 2001 (Pub. L. 107-110, NCLB)

² Grad Rate is used as the additional indicator for all High School Student Groups. For all other reports attendance is used for the additional indicator.

³ GOAL = The percent of students who need to score at the proficient level which is defined as reaching level 3 or level 4 on the Core CRT Assessment.

⁴ These subgroups passed using the Same Student Safe Harbor calculation



Federal Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Summary Report 2010-11

District : Name :

TINTIC DISTRICT

Number: 29

Grade Span:

10-12



Did district make AYP?

Yes*

2011 - 15

	Language Arts (GOAL ³ = 82%)					Mathematics (GOAL ³ = 40%)					Attendance Graduation				
	Participation	2011 Tes	t Scores	2010 Test	Scores	Group	Participation	on	2011 Test	Scores	2010 Tes	t Scores	Group	Rate	Rate
Group	N %	N	%	N	%	OK?	N 9	6	N	% ⁵	N	%	OK?	%	%
Whole LEA	21N<40	19	95	16	100	Yes	27 N<	40	19	5	19	63	No	93	N<40
Asian				0	N<10						0	N<10		N<40	N<40
African American														N<40	N<40
American Indian	1N<40	1	N<10	0	N<10	Yes	1 N<	40	1	N<10	0	N<10	Yes	N<40	N<40
Caucasian	20N<40	18	94	16	100	Yes	25 N<	40	18	6	19	63	No	93	N<40
Hispanic	0N<40	0	N<10	0	N<10	Yes	1 N<	40	0	N<10	0	N<10	Yes	N<40	N<40
Pacific Islander														N<40	N<40
Economically Disadvantaged	11N<40	10	90	7	N<10	Yes	15 N<	40	10	0	10	60	No	N<40	N<40
Limited English Proficient														N<40	N<40
Students with Disabilities	1N<40	1	N<10	1	N<10	Yes	2 N<	40	1	N<10	4	N<10	Yes	N<40	N<40

Did the district and every group make AYP in the content area?

Grade Span	Language Arts	Mathematics		
3-8	Yes*	Yes		
10-12	Yes	No		
l	Mathematics			

Did the district make AYP? Yes*

Year in Program Improvement

Is the district in Program Improvement? No

1 To determine Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) as required by the U.S. No Child Left Behind Act of 2001 (Pub. L. 107-110, NCLB)

5 2011 Secondary math calculations use only Algebra 1 scores of 10th grade students

KEY

Yes Group meets the requirement

No Group does not meet the requirement

Yes* Group within width of confidence interval of meeting requirement

NA Group too small to be required to meet standard

Shaded Cell Group not required to meet this requirement

© 2011 Utah State Office of Education Page 2 of 4

² Grad Rate is used as the additional indicator for all High School Student Groups. For all other reports attendance is used for the additional indicator.

³ GOAL = The percent of students who need to score at the proficient level which is defined as reaching level 3 or level 4 on the Core CRT Assessment.

⁴ These subgroups passed using the Same Student Safe Harbor calculation



Federal Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Summary Report 2010-11

District : Name :

TINTIC DISTRICT

Number: 29

Grade Span:

10-12

OFFICE OF CONTRACTOR OF CONTRACTOR OFFICE OF CONTRACTOR OFFICE OF CONTRACTOR OF CONTRA

Did district make AYP?

Yes*

2011 - 15

	Language Arts (GOAL =82.0%)				Ma	thematics	Additional Indicator			
	Participation %		Academic Achievement		Participation %		Academic A	Achievement	Attendance Rate	
Group District S		State	District	State	District	State	District	State	District	State
All Students	N<40	100	95	87	N<40	100	5	63	N<40	94
Asian		99		83		100		65	N<40	96
AfAm/Black		100		67		99		42	N<40	92
American Indian	N<40	99	N<10	71	N<40	99	N<10	40	N<40	92
White	N<40	100	94	91	N<40	100	6	68	N<40	94
Hispanic/Latino	N<40	99	N<10	71	N<40	99	N<10	37	N<40	92
Pacific Islander		99		75		100		48	N<40	94
Economically Disadvantaged	N<40	99	90	78	N<40	99	0	48	N<40	92
Limited English Proficient		99		44		100		21	N<40	92
Students with Disabilities	N<40	99	N<10	55	N<40	99	N<10	30	N<40	91

5 2011 Secondary math calculations use only Algebra 1 scores of 10th grade students

KEY

Yes Group meets the requirement

No Group does not meet the requirement

Yes* Group within width of confidence interval of meeting requirement

NA Group too small to be required to meet standard

Shaded Cell Group not required to meet this requirement

© 2011 Utah State Office of Education Page 3 of 4

¹ To determine Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) as required by the U.S. No Child Left Behind Act of 2001 (Pub. L. 107-110, NCLB)

² Grad Rate is used as the additional indicator for all High School Student Groups. For all other reports attendance is used for the additional indicator.

³ GOAL = The percent of students who need to score at the proficient level which is defined as reaching level 3 or level 4 on the Core CRT Assessment.

⁴ These subgroups passed using the Same Student Safe Harbor calculation



Federal Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Summary Report

District : Name :

TINTIC DISTRICT

Number: 2

Grade Span:

10-12

OFFICE OF EDUCATION

Did district make AYP?

Yes*

2011 - 15

of LEP students exempted from AYP calculations: 0

Count of NCLB Classes Reported to the Clearinghouse, For Poverty Quartiles, Taught by Highly Qualified Educators for School Year 2010-2011

	Not Highly Qualified	Highly Qualified	Total Classes Warehse	Percent Not HQ	Percent Highly Qualified
TINTIC DISTRICT	36	36	72	50.00%	50.00%
Elementary	1	10	11	9.09%	90.91%
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0		
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0		
Other Poverty Quartiles	1	10	11	9.09%	90.91%
Secondary	35	26	61	57.38%	42.62%
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0		
4th Poverty Quartile	16	4	20	80.00%	20.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	19	22	41	46.34%	53.66%

^{**} This report does not reflect assignments reported to CACTUS

5 2011 Secondary math calculations use only Algebra 1 scores of 10th grade students

KEY

Yes Group meets the requirement

No Group does not meet the requirement

Yes* Group within width of confidence interval of meeting requirement

NA Group too small to be required to meet standard

Shaded Cell Group not required to meet this requirement

© 2011 Utah State Office of Education Page 4 of 4

^{** 4}th Poverty Quartile is the quartile with the highest poverty percentage

¹ To determine Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) as required by the U.S. No Child Left Behind Act of 2001 (Pub. L. 107-110, NCLB)

² Grad Rate is used as the additional indicator for all High School Student Groups. For all other reports attendance is used for the additional indicator.

³ GOAL = The percent of students who need to score at the proficient level which is defined as reaching level 3 or level 4 on the Core CRT Assessment.

⁴ These subgroups passed using the Same Student Safe Harbor calculation